

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 RONALD W. BRATTON,  
9 Plaintiff,  
10 v.  
11 S.R. ALBRITTON, et. al.,  
12 Defendants.

13 Case No. 20-cv-03885 BLF (PR)  
14  
15 **ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S  
MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF  
TIME TO FILE OPPOSITION;  
DIRECTING DEFENDANTS TO  
FILE REPLY**  
16  
17 (Docket No. 34)

18 Plaintiff, a state inmate filed instant *pro se* civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C.  
19 § 1983 against personnel at San Quentin State Prison. Dkt. No. 1. On August 22, 2022,  
20 the Court found the complaint, liberally construed, stated cognizable claims and ordered  
21 the matter served on Defendants. Dkt. No. 22. Defendants filed a motion to dismiss on  
22 November 7, 2022. Dkt. No. 30. Plaintiff therefore had until December 5, 2022, to file an  
23 opposition. On November 28, 2022 and December 2, 2022, he filed duplicative filings in  
24 which he requests an extension of 30-days to prepare a response. Dkt. Nos. 32, 34. He  
25 then filed a lengthy opposition on December 14, 2022. Dkt. Nos. 35, 36.

26 Having shown good cause, Plaintiff's request for an extension of time is  
27 **GRANTED**, such that his opposition filed on December 14, 2022, is deemed timely filed.  
28

1 Defendants shall file a reply brief no later than **fourteen (14) days** from the date  
2 this order is filed. The matter will be deemed submitted on the date Defendants' reply is  
3 due.

4 This order terminates Docket No. 34.

5 **IT IS SO ORDERED**

6 Dated: December 22, 2022

  
7 BETH LABSON FREEMAN  
8 United States District Judge